

Examiners' Report/ Principal Examiner Feedback

June 2011

International GCSE Gujarati
(4GU0) Paper 1

Edexcel is one of the leading examining and awarding bodies in the UK and throughout the world. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers.

Through a network of UK and overseas offices, Edexcel's centres receive the support they need to help them deliver their education and training programmes to learners.

For further information, please call our GCE line on 0844 576 0025, our GCSE team on 0844 576 0027, or visit our website at www.edexcel.com.

If you have any subject specific questions about the content of this Examiners' Report that require the help of a subject specialist, you may find our Ask The Expert email service helpful.

Ask The Expert can be accessed online at the following link:
<http://www.edexcel.com/Aboutus/contact-us/>

Alternatively, you can contact our Languages Advisor directly by sending an email to Alistair Drewery on
LanguagesSubjectAdvisor@EdexcelExperts.co.uk.

You can also telephone 0844 576 0035 to speak to a member of our subject advisor team.

(If you are calling from outside the UK please dial + 44 1204 770 696 and state that you would like to speak to the Languages subject specialist).

June 2011

Publications Code UG028105

All the material in this publication is copyright

© Edexcel Ltd 2011

IGCSE Gujarati Examiner's Report – Paper 4GUO/ 01

This summer saw the first sitting of IGCSE Gujarati 4GU0/01. The format of the question paper is changed in respect to the sequence of questions:

- Question 3 – Question 1 (translation of sentences)
- Question 1 – Question 2 (English passage to Gujarati)
- Question 2 – Question 3 (Gujarati passage to English)
- Question 4 remains as Question 4 (Essay)

The examination is devised of one paper of 3 hours, consisting of 4 questions which would enable the examiner to make a fair assessment of the candidates' knowledge, understanding and response. At the same time, this should provide a good opportunity for the cross-section of candidates with a different range of abilities to apply their knowledge and skill in the best possible way, bringing out the salient features of the language.

The 2011 paper for Gujarati was set on the same basis as previous years. The aim being to test the fluency, continuity and free flow of ideas and expressions with correct usage of grammatical structures, originality and taking special care for the salient features like 'spelling' and 'gender' which may distort the meaning if wrongly applied in the Gujarati language. The intention is to stretch the ability, imagination and creativity of the candidates.

The marking scheme is set in such a way as to provide a fair assessment on a positive basis. Every correct item and the item of merit are rewarded to offer a fair and positive testing and marking. It is very well devised for all the four questions as taking into consideration the candidates' response which is to be rewarded. It is applied to see that the standard is maintained and the criteria have not gone down while testing the relevant communication, quality of language, accuracy etc.

Candidates from most centres have shown a good understanding, ability, enthusiasm and potential for Gujarati. A high proportion of candidates have done very well throughout the exam paper especially for Question 2 and Question 4, scoring praiseworthy marks.

However, there are a few examples of average performance especially for Question 2 and Question 3, e.g. usage of the language and agreement of the verb with relevant nouns, adjectives etc. There is an evidence of fluent flow in the essay writing. It seems that most of the centres concentrate on giving exercise/training in personal experiences, especially for 'letter writing.' This is usually reflected in the choice of topics for Question 4 – Essay writing. For example, candidates who selected the topic (a) - (what sort of subjects would you like to study in school and why?), where personal choice and relevant explanations were well documented. There are a few examples of average performance, especially for Question 3. On the other hand, it is quite pleasing to note that one of the salient features: the agreement of the verb with the relevant nouns, adjectives etc, again reflects in the overall scoring high marks. There is a recurring pattern in a number of scripts where the candidates have been able to score high marks

for Question 4, but because of poor performance for Question 2 and Question 3, the overall total was not as good as expected.

Translation passages are set to assess the flexibility of both languages and reaction of languages in certain contexts. The skill of translation needs understanding of how to transfer one language to another without distorting the meaning, at the same time not giving the literal word to word translation but to carry the fervour and flavour of the relevant languages.

Question 1

This question is to test the correct grammatical and other relevant features of the language while translating. The candidates were supposed to perform and show a good interpreting skill, some candidates struggled with this, and centres are reminded that practice will enable candidates to perform better.

Question 2

Candidates seemed to be quite at ease with the passage, and many found it easy to translate the text correctly. There were some enjoyable translations and candidates are to be praised for their performance.

Question 3

A cross section of performance. Some centres, it seems, have not given enough or correct training for translating a piece of work, especially from Gujarati into English. A number of candidates were lost for the right vocabulary and interpretation. However, there were others who did transfer the text correctly.

Question 4

Letter writing is always the favourite with the highest number. It was quite pleasing to see the candidates stretching their 'potential,' thereby displaying their knowledge, understanding and response. Candidates demonstrated an awareness and concern about the environment/ hunger/ poverty/ wars and killing/ pollution/ injustice/ natural and human-made disasters etc., and these issues are highlighted in the writing of those who attempted Topics C/ D and to some extent Topic A. Those who wrote about 'The world I would like to live in' tackled the topic honestly, discussing the above mentioned issues and urged for an "ideal world." Similarly Topic B brought out interesting and vivid accounts. The letter writing also showed genuine concern for the protection of wildlife and so on. The 'enthusiasm' is accelerated and many have done quite well. A visible range of vocabulary and fluency are seen in the candidates of some centres. Some candidates have used idioms and proverbs appropriately, especially for Question 4 (c).

Grade Boundaries

The modern foreign languages specifications share a common design, but the assessments in different languages are not identical. Grade boundaries at unit level reflect these differences in assessments, ensuring that candidate outcomes across these specifications are comparable at specification level.

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link: <http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx>

Further copies of this publication are available from
International Regional Offices at www.edexcel.com/international

For more information on Edexcel qualifications, please visit
www.edexcel.com

Alternatively, you can contact Customer Services at
www.edexcel.com/ask or on + 44 1204 770 696

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828
with its registered office at Edinburgh Gate, Harlow, Essex CM20 2JE

Ofqual



Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru
Welsh Assembly Government

